

## Ben, Babel, and the Whore

By Charles Thomas Dennis, Ph.D.

*February 28, 2017*

**W**hat is really going on behind America's acrimonious political and social divide? What specific deception is being presented to bring the thinking and actions of the American public into compliance with a seemingly new order? The answer is shocking. Less than two years after 9/11, a revealing conversation took place between David McCullough, (historian and two-time Pulitzer Prize winning author), and Bruce Cole, (Chairman of the National Endowment for the Humanities). Concerning the tragedy of 9/11 and the "appalling lack of historical knowledge" on the part of students attending "elite colleges and universities," Cole stated, "Our country has been attacked. Not only the World Trade Center but really the idea of our country, the ideas generated by the founders. How are we going to defend this if we really don't know much about it?" McCullough responded:

I have been lecturing at colleges and universities continuously for twenty-five years or more. From my experience I don't think there's any question whatsoever that the students in our institutions of higher learning have less grasp of American history than ever before. We are raising a generation of young Americans who are, to a very large degree, historically illiterate.<sup>1</sup>

America cannot be defended from the adversaries of liberty, both foreign and domestic, unless the truth concerning "the idea of our country, the ideas generated by the founders" is fully understood. From the ever increasing reprobate direction that America is heading, it is evident that it is not just college students, but the vast majority of Americans, in general, who are "historically illiterate." Just look at one glaring example.

During the debates in the Constitutional Convention a deadlock arose that brought the deliberations to a standstill. At that juncture, the venerable Benjamin Franklin addressed the assembly, with due respect for its President, George Washington. In that moment, revealing his anti-Deism, and nixing the fallacious idea of a godless Constitution, Franklin proclaimed:

I have lived, Sir a long time, and the longer I live, the more convincing proofs I see of this truth, *That God governs in the affairs of men!* And if a sparrow cannot fall to the ground without His notice, is it probable that an empire can rise without His aid?—We have been assured, Sir, in the Sacred Writings, that "except the Lord build the House they labor in vain that build it." I firmly believe this; and I also believe that without His concurring aid we shall succeed in this political building no better than the builders of Babel.<sup>2</sup> (Italics in original.)

Franklin imported a theological argument into the political discussion. Regarding “the Sacred Writings,” he quoted from Jesus’ statement in Matthew 10:29, from Psalm 127:1, and referred to Genesis 10:8-10. His dichotomy was exceptionally keen. Any repudiation of the truth that “except the Lord build the House they labor in vain that build it” is representative of a government that is “no better than the builders of Babel.”

What was Franklin thinking when he made his statement concerning “the builders of Babel?” In order to understand his position it is necessary to follow his train of thought. We know that Franklin had read *The Genuine Works of Flavius Josephus*, the first century Jewish historian.<sup>3</sup> And, that Franklin was very familiar with the account of Nimrod.<sup>4</sup> Josephus stated that “it was Nimrod who excited” the people in their “affront and contempt of God.”<sup>5</sup> To further this goal, Nimrod “also gradually changed the government into tyranny, seeing no other way of turning men from the fear of God, but to bring them into a constant dependence on his own power.”<sup>6</sup> Consequently, “the multitude were very ready to follow the determination of Nimrod, and to esteem it a piece of cowardice to submit to God.”<sup>7</sup>

In their *Biblical Commentary on the Old Testament*, Hebraists, C. F. Keil and F. Delitzsch, expounded on Nimrod. The name “Nimrod” is derived from the Hebrew word meaning “we will revolt,”<sup>8</sup> and “points to some violent resistance to God.”<sup>9</sup> “In addition to this, Nimrod as a mighty hunter founded a powerful kingdom.”<sup>10</sup> However, what he established was “an imperial kingdom by tyranny and power.”<sup>11</sup> The Bible records that the beginning of his kingdom was Babel, “the well-known city of Babylon on the Euphrates, which from the time of Nimrod downwards has been the symbol of the power of the world in its hostility to God.”<sup>12</sup>

Franklin made the problem of Babel contemporary to June 28, 1787, and in relation to the founding of the America empire his warning was not out of line. Moving from Genesis to Revelation we see the continuity of Biblical thought concerning this issue. In the seventeenth chapter of Revelation we encounter the Great Whore. The name written upon her forehead being: MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF WHORES AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH. (Revelation 17:5)

Commenting on the Great Whore, theologian and Greek scholar R. C. H. Lenski stated: “Babylon the Great is *the antichristian empire*,”<sup>13</sup> and “The great whore is again Babylon the Great; but now she is presented as being clothed with all *the antichristian seductiveness*, as luring the earth dwellers to commit whoredom and to be made drunk with the wine of her whoring.”<sup>14</sup> “The earth dwellers are the *antichristian multitudes*.”<sup>15</sup> (All emphases in original.)

It is important to note that all *antichristian* rhetoric and actions are spiritual whoring with the Great Whore. This whoring was seen in Babylon under Nimrod, and his rebellion against God symbolized in the building of the tower of Babel, and since the incarnation of Jesus, whoring specifically against Christ and His Church. “This woman is the great temptress, seducer, and liar in the service of Satan.”<sup>16</sup>

There are *antichristians* in bed with the great whore of Babylon, “in the service of Satan,” in every branch of our government and in every facet of our culture, working overtime to deceive the

public, trying to convince them that it is perfectly all right to exhibit an “affront and contempt of God.” They aggressively and flagrantly challenge God and His will. The fallacious argument concerning Church and State is nothing more than these whoring seducers striving to present the Great Whore as the paragon of truth, and her *antichristian* abominations as constitutional rights that will only make America more inclusive; all the while, acting as Nimrod, daring God to do anything about it.

The Supreme Court’s rebuke of God’s creation and design for human sexuality, marriage, and the family, and the truth of life at conception—with all of the moral implications—are the result of the Court’s willful submission to the Great Whore “in the service of Satan.” This is also obviously seen in the Court’s attacks on Christians living in obedience to God’s will.

Franklin wrote another speech that was delivered at the end of the Constitutional Convention (September 17, 1787), from which the following extract deserves special attention. This statement was in concert with his Babel comparison. It also speaks directly to the diabolical reasoning of many political and judicial operatives today.

[Government] “can only end in despotism, as other forms have done before it, when the people shall become so corrupted as to need despotic Government, being incapable of any other.”<sup>17</sup>

John Adams was absolutely correct when he declared, “It is religion [Christianity] and morality alone, which can establish the principles upon which freedom can securely stand.”<sup>18</sup> He also stated: “We have no government armed with power capable of contending with human passions unbridled by morality and religion. . . . Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate to the government of any other.”<sup>19</sup>

In his Inaugural Address, Adams proclaimed that “a veneration for the religion of a people who profess and call themselves Christians, and a fixed resolution to consider a decent respect for Christianity [are] among the best recommendations for the public service.”<sup>20</sup> This is why John Jay, appointed by George Washington to be the first Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, stated emphatically, “Providence has given to our people the choice of their rulers, and it is the duty, as well as the privilege and interest, of our Christian nation to select and prefer Christians for their rulers.”<sup>21</sup>

Those who have any concern that these gentlemen violated the—“no religious test shall ever be required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the United States”—clause in Article VI of the Constitution of the United States, are among the “historically illiterate.” Secularism with its moral relativism breeds anti-constitutionalism. The social significance of the influence of Christianity on the State’s welfare must be understood.

In 1796, five years after the ratification of the Bill of Rights, George Washington in his Farewell Address to the nation declared:

Of all the dispositions and habits which lead to political prosperity, religion [Christianity]

and morality are indispensable supports. In vain would that man claim the tribute of patriotism, who should labor to subvert these great pillars of human happiness, these firmest props of the duties of men and citizens. . . . And let us with caution indulge the supposition that morality can be maintained without religion.

It is substantially true that virtue or morality is a necessary spring of popular government. The rule, indeed, extends with more or less force to every species of free government. Who that is a sincere friend to it can look with indifference upon attempts to shake the foundation of the fabric?<sup>22</sup>

Washington challenged every “sincere friend” of “free government” to be diligent in protecting the Christian moral “foundation of the fabric.” According to Washington, all adversaries of the necessary Christian moral underpinning of “free government” could not claim the tribute of being a patriot. In other words, they could not be considered to be among those who love the United States of America.

The continuance of our Constitutional Republic requires historical literacy. The proof of this great need is seen every day in horrific newscasts. At no time in American history has the “appalling lack of historical knowledge” been this atrocious. Moral decay, senseless violence, and anarchy are consequences of a government that has lost its mooring and is out of control.

The question before American citizens is this, whose influence will prevail, the Great Whore or “the idea of our country, the ideas generated by the founders?” Without specific “historical knowledge,” the antichristian Great Whore will succeed by default. **IRREFUTABLE: Why Christianity Is the Irrevocable Foundation of American Liberty**, reveals the truth. It is an antidote for the plague of historical illiteracy that has infected the nation. Ben would have loved it.

For more information about ***IRREFUTABLE*** [see endorsements](#).

The impact of the exuberance of truth is only as great as the breadth of its reach.   
(For Chrome, right click on the email icon and select Open link in new tab.)

## Home | Articles

### Notes

1. “The Danger of Historical Amnesia: A Conversation with Writer David McCullough,” *Humanities Magazine* 23, no. 4 (July/August, 2002): 38.
2. *The Papers of James Madison, His Reports of Debates in the Federal Convention* (Washington: Langtree & O’Sullivan, 1840), 2.985.
3. *The Complete Works, in Philosophy, Politics, and Morals, of the Late Dr. Benjamin Franklin* (London: Hurst, Rees, and Orme, 1806), 3.412.
4. *The Works of Dr. Benjamin Franklin* (Philadelphia: William Duane 1809), 4.377.
5. *The Genuine Works of Flavius Josephus*, William Whiston, trans. (Worcester, MA, 1794), 1.95.

6. Ibid.
7. Ibid.
8. C. F. Keil and F. Delitzsch, *Commentary on the Old Testament* (Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1885), 1.165.
9. Ibid.
10. Ibid, 165-166.
11. Ibid, 166.
12. Ibid.
13. R. C. H. Lenski, *The Interpretation of St. John's Revelation* (Minneapolis: Augsburg Publishing House, 1963 [1943]), 492.
14. Ibid.
15. Ibid, 493.
16. Simon J. Kistemaker, *New Testament Commentary*, "Exposition of the Book of Revelation" (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2001), 460.
17. *The Papers of James Madison*, 3.1597.
18. Charles Francis Adams, *The Works of John Adams, Second President of the United States* (Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1854), 9.401.
19. Ibid, 229.
20. *Inaugural Addresses of the Presidents of the United States from Washington to Lincoln*, John Vance Cheney, ed. (Chicago: R. R. Donnelley & Sons Company, 1904), 32.
21. William Jay, *The Life of John Jay* (New York: J. & J. Harper, 1833), 2.376.
22. John C. Fitzpatrick, ed., *The Writings of George Washington, from the Original Manuscript Sources* (Washington: United States Government Printing Office, 1936), 15.55.